

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/892,390	06/27/2001	Raymond F. Ayala	NORTH-452A/A-2355	2767
75	90 05/31/2006		EXAM	INER
Terry J. Anderson, Esq. NORTHROP GRUMMAN CORPORATION			HOLLOWAY III, EDWIN C	
1840 Century P				PAPER NUMBER
Los Angeles, C	CA 90067-2199		2612	
			DATE MAILED: 05/31/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			~
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/892,390	AYALA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Edwin C. Holloway, III	2612	
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	ş
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions or after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum state  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply w Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a minication.  utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will, by statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this community  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on <u>09 <i>Jun</i>e 2005</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2l	b)⊡ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for	·		its is
closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restricti	e withdrawn from consideration.		-
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to the oath or declaration is objected to the specific results.	a) accepted or b) objected to lion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyang the correction is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do some * Copies of the priority do some * Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internations * See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in A f the priority documents have been al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	e
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTG3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 09/892,390

Art Unit: 2612

## EXAMINER'S RESPONSE

Page 2

1. In response to applicant's amendment filed 6-9-05, all the amendments to the specification and claims have been entered. The examiner has considered the new presentation of claims and applicant's arguments in view of the disclosure and the present state of the prior art. And it is the examiner's opinion that the claims are unpatentable for the reasons set forth in this Office action:

## Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the continuing data in the specification should be updated to list the status of each application and any corresponding patent numbers.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 & 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a),

Application/Control Number: 09/892,390

Art Unit: 2612

the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hyatt '044 (US 5745044)

Hyatt '044 discloses a programming method including detecting a key and identifying a key number IDN from the key module. Programmer 301a identifies locks to be controlled on different days based on route stops complied by the programmer and generates a routing an programs the table into the routing tables 502 of the key unit. The table includes at least two dates, each with at least one lock number that is a date sensitive code only good for that day. The number is also date sensitive in that it is encrypted using a daykey. The claims does not specify that the first and second dates are different or that the first and second enclosures are different. different dates and enclosures were specified, such would have been provided by the route table of Hyatt '044. See col. 7 and fig. 9. Hyatt '044 lacks identifying a key user from the key claim 1.

Lee discloses an analogous art access control system with identifying a key user from the key card to all tracking of the user (col. 2 line 25). Lee also includes a smart card with programming instructions to upload/download data. See at least col. 5.

Regarding claim 1, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included identifying a key user in Hyatt '044 because this is disclosed in Lee for tracking the user or collector.

Regarding claim 2-3, Hyatt '044 includes internal instruction sources (selection by management operator) and external sources (download from host) in col. 7 lines 24-30.

Regarding claim 4, external source being a smart card would have been obvious in vie of Lee teaching a smart card carrying programming instruction in analogous art. This would provide a convenient manner to transfer programming instructions.

Regarding claim 5, instruction unique to a particular computer would have been obvious in order to prevent unauthorized use of the external source such as a dongle.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

#### CONTACT INFORMATION

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact an Electronic Business Center (EBC) representatives at 703-305-3028 or toll

Art Unit: 2612

free at 866-217-9197 between the hours of 6 a.m. and midnight Monday through Friday EST, or by e-mail at ebc@uspto.gov. The Patent EBC is a complete customer service center that supports all Patent e-business products and service applications. Additional information is available on the Patent EBC Web site at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html.

Any inquiry of a general nature should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 receptionist at (571) 272-2600.

Facsimile submissions may be sent via central fax number 571-273-8300 to customer service for entry by technical support staff. Questions related to the operation of the facsimile system should be directed to the Electronic Business Center at (866) 217-9197. On July 15, 2005, the Central FAX Number will change to.

CENTRALIZED DELIVERY POLICY: For patent related correspondence, hand carry deliveries must be made to the Customer Service Window (now located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314), and facsimile transmissions must be sent to the Central FAX number, unless an exception applies. For example, if the examiner has rejected claims in a regular U.S. patent application, and the reply to the examiner's Office action is desired to be transmitted by facsimile rather than mailed, the reply must be sent to the Central FAX Number. Inquiries concerning only hours and location of the Customer Window may be directed to OIPE Customer Service at (703) 308-1202.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edwin C. Holloway, III whose telephone number is (571) 272-3058. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:00). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy Garber can be reached on (571) 272-7308.

EH 5/25/06 EDWIN C. HOLLOWAY, III
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2612